## SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA



SUPREME COURT BUILDING 100 NORTH NINTH STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219 (804) 786-2259

# **Granted Appeal Summary**

#### Case

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA v. MARCUS CLEOPHUS HOLMAN (Record Number 230343)

### From

The Court of Appeals of Virginia.

#### Counsel

Jason S. Miyares and Stephen J. Sovinsky (Office of the Attorney General) for appellant.

Charles E. Haden for appellee.

## **Assignments of Error**

- 1. The Court of Appeals erred in holding that the evidence affirmatively shows that an element of the use of a firearm in the commission of a felony did not occur, that Holman acted without malice, and that Holman drunkenly and erratically discharged a shotgun in the direction of Selena Spurlock and her home.
- 2. The Court of Appeals erred in holding that a stipulation that all the elements of an offense occurred, without more, would amount to a mere concession of law, that such a stipulation would be an impossible concession or not appropriate, or that the stipulations obligated a specific outcome and that the trial court did not independently review the concession.
- 3. The Court of Appeals erred in holding that Holman did not in fact stipulate that all elements of the use of a firearm in the commission of a felony were present, and that the stipulations were not sufficient for the trial court to convict.
- 4. The Court of Appeals erred in holding that the ends-of-justice exception to Rule 5A:18 applies to Holman's conviction for use of a firearm in the commission of a felony, and that Holman showed an extraordinary situation where a miscarriage of justice had occurred.
- 5. The Court of Appeals erred in holding that the approbate/reprobate and invited-error doctrines do not bar the application of the ends-of-justice exception to Holman's conviction for use of a firearm in the commission of a felony, and that Holman did not invite the error of which he complains or take advantage of the situation created by his own wrong.