VIRGINIA:

In the Supreme Court of Virginia held at the Supreme Court Building in the City of Richmond on Thursday, the 5th day of November, 2026.

AQM GOLAM FARUQUE, ET AL., APPELLANTS,

against

FAZLE BHUIYAN, ET AL., APPELLEES.

Record No. 250657
Court of Appeals No. 0209-24-4

UPON AN APPEAL FROM A
JUDGMENT RENDERED BY THE
COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA.

The Court of Appeals held that it could not address the merits of this appeal because the appellants did not timely file the necessary transcripts. Having considered the record, briefs, and arguments of counsel, we affirm the judgment of the Court of Appeals for the reasons stated in its order. *See Faruque v. Bhuiyan*, Record No. 0209-24-4 (Va. Ct. App. May 21, 2025) (unpublished).[*]

This order shall be certified to the Court of Appeals of Virginia and to the Circuit Court of Loudoun County.

A Copy,

Teste:

2026-11-05
T: 10:23:24 EST

Clerk

[*] *See generally Eckard v. Commonwealth*, 303 Va. 290, 302 (2024) ("the burden is on the appellant to present to us a sufficient record" (citation omitted)); *JSR Mech., Inc. v. Aireco Supply, Inc.*, 291 Va. 377, 382 (2016) ("[t]he burden lies with the appellant"); *Brown v. Commonwealth*, 278 Va. 92, 103-04 (2009) ("[t]he onus is upon the appellant" (citation omitted)); *Prince Seating Corp. v. Rabideau*, 275 Va. 468, 470-71 (2008) ("appellant . . . has the primary responsibility" (citation omitted)); *White v. Morano*, 249 Va. 27, 30 (1995) ("the onus is upon the appellant"); *Woods v. R.D. Hunt & Son, Inc.*, 207 Va. 281, 287 (1966) ("the onus is upon the appellant"); *Justis v. Young*, 202 Va. 631, 632 (1961) ("the burden is on the appellant").